

**REPORT ON
STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS
FOR THE
REFURBISHMENT AND CONTINUED OPERATION
OF PICKERING B NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

**COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER
CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION PROGRAM**

Prepared for:

**Ontario Power Generation Inc.
889 Brock Road
Pickering, Ontario
L1W 3J2**

Prepared by:

**SENES Consultants Limited
12 - 121 Granton Drive
Richmond Hill, Ontario
L4B 3N4**

March 2007

Printed on Recycled Paper Containing Post-Consumer Fibre



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0 BACKGROUND.....	1
2.0 PROCESS	1
3.0 INTERVIEW RESULTS	2
4.0 NEXT STEPS	4

ATTACHMENT A: INTERVIEW RESPONSES

ATTACHMENT B: INFORMATION PACKAGE

ATTACHMENT C: INTERVIEW GUIDE

1.0 BACKGROUND

As part of a comprehensive public consultation plan for the Refurbishment and Continued Operation of Pickering B Environmental Assessment, key stakeholder interviews were conducted by SENES Consultants Limited (SENES) during October and November 2006. At this stage in the project, key stakeholders would have had the opportunity to become familiar with the project background and context through one round of public open houses, a project newsletter, and the project Web site.

This report contains information related to the interview process, the responses given by stakeholders, an analysis of the responses, any outstanding questions or issues, key themes, and next steps.

2.0 PROCESS

Representatives of the twelve key stakeholder groups listed below were invited to be interviewed. These groups have been involved in related OPG projects, represented community interests in proximity to Pickering Nuclear and/or have previously expressed an interest in this project

- Altona West Community Association
- Fairport Beach Neighbourhood Association
- Frenchman's Bay Rehabilitation Project
- Liverpool West Community Association
- Pickering Ajax Citizen's Together (PACT)
- Pickering East Shore Community Association
- Pickering Field Naturalists
- Save the Rouge Valley System (SRVS)
- Sierra Club of Canada
- West Rouge Community Association
- West Shore Community Association
- Greenpeace

These groups were sent an information package on October 18th, 2006 including: a letter introducing the project and inviting their participation in the interview process; a project fact sheet; a description of the Environmental Assessment requirements; a project schedule; and a description of the public consultation activities and schedule. These materials are included in **Attachment B**.

SENES staff began to telephone stakeholders on October 26th, 2006 to set up interview times. All stakeholders were invited to in-person meetings. As a second option for those who were not able to or interested in a meeting, telephone interviews were offered. All interviews followed a consistent interview guide. A copy of the guide is included in Attachment C. Several attempts were made to contact all stakeholder groups, either by telephone and/or by e-mail, where possible. Groups with whom efforts to set up an interview were unsuccessful, were sent a letter inviting them to contact OPG at their convenience should they wish to share their views about the project or any aspect of the EA.

The study team was unable to contact several groups in the time available. Of these, three (Sierra Club of Canada; Greenpeace; Save the Rouge Valley System) indicated that they were too busy to respond at this time and one (West Shore Community Association) could not be contacted. The Altona West Community Association and the West Rouge Community Association indicated that they were interested in continuing to receive communications regarding the project and were satisfied with the proposed consultation program, but that they did not have any preliminary views to offer at this time. They both agreed to be contacted should a second round of stakeholder interviews be undertaken. A representative from the Liverpool West Community Association indicated that the group has no interest in this project.

Four of the five groups that were interviewed indicated that they would like to be contacted again later in the process.

3.0 INTERVIEW RESULTS

Interviews were conducted over the telephone or in-person. One group also provided input via e-mail. The following five groups offered detailed feedback:

- Fairport Beach Neighbourhood Association
- Frenchman's Bay Rehabilitation Project
- Pickering Ajax Citizen's Together (PACT)
- Pickering East Shore Community Association
- Pickering Field Naturalists

The interviews focused on three areas: the Project; the EA studies and schedule; and the public consultation and communications activities. In each area, the interviewees were asked:

:

- If they had any questions and/or preliminary views in that area (the Project, the EA and/or the consultation activities);
- Whether the EA studies were comprehensive and the planned consultation and communications sufficient; and

- What specific interests or needs their group had for project-related communications and consultations.

All comments were noted and have been documented in **Attachment A** to this report. In summary the interviewees noted the following.

The Project:

- Stakeholders are interested in the impacts of the project on the Pickering Nuclear site including site security and plant condition and obsolescence. Some also expressed an interest in the interrelationship of this project with the operations at Pickering A.
- One stakeholder expressed interest in the effects that the project will have on thermal discharge from the site;
- The management of nuclear wastes associated with the project was also mentioned by a number of stakeholders. Stakeholders sought additional information about how waste would be handled. It also was pointed out that long term storage of wastes at the site would not be acceptable to the community.

The EA and Schedule:

- Stakeholders indicated that the list of studies being carried out for the EA is comprehensive.
- One stakeholder asked that the list of 160 issues developed during the Pickering A Return to Service Environmental Assessment with the Pickering Citizens Advisory Committee be carried forward and addressed during this project.
- Environmental effects of the project are of interest, especially the identification of any aspects of the local environment that could be harmed.
- It was noted that this process should exceed regulatory expectations, not just meet them.
- One group identified their expertise with respect to the identification of flora and fauna for the study and offered to provide comment and assistance.
- One stakeholder mentioned that although it may not be part of the EA, there is an interest in additional spending on energy conservation.

Communications and Consultations:

- The range of communications activities seems appropriate for the stakeholders who were interviewed. For some, e-mail is the best way of keeping in touch, while others expressed preference for telephone contact or through the Project newsletter.

- There is interest in a workshop to discuss the project in more detail, primarily in the areas of flora and fauna, and EA methodology. There is also interest in follow-up interviews.
- There was a concern that the community is being over-consulted and is being asked to spread limited time over various projects taking place. OPG should look for opportunities to combine the consultation on various projects to make it more manageable. Working with the existing community advisory groups may be one way to help manage the demands;
- Stakeholders did not foresee any additional information needs beyond the contemplated consultation and communication for the project.

Questions and comments received that are relevant to the assessment of environmental effects have been noted and will be addressed in the EASR. In recognition of the concern about stakeholder burnout, OPG will endeavour to be as efficient as possible in its consultation channels, including the use of a web site, email, newsletters and presentations/meetings with stakeholder groups, all of which were identified as valuable communication vehicles.

The comment expressing interest in receiving information about ongoing operational matters is referred to the Pickering Public Affairs Group at OPG for their consideration and follow-up.

4.0 Next Steps

Stakeholder interviews are an excellent way to gauge areas of public interest and concern. They serve as an early indicator, which helps the Study team direct its efforts to address these concerns in the study process. All comments and suggestions received will be considered by OPG and, where appropriate, incorporated into the EA Study process.

Attachment A

Interview Responses

Following are the responses provided by interviewees during the interview process.

Question 1: Do you have any questions about the project?

- I understand that heating of the lake water has been a concern. How is this going to be addressed?
- How is waste being treated? Where is the western waste management facility?
- What is happening with Pickering A?
- Site security is a concern with respect to radioactivity and terrorism.
- What is the process for plant shut down? When will this happen? How much will this cost and who will pay for it?
- How is OPG reverse engineering? What is the condition of the plant and what would it take to refurbish it?

Question 2: Do you have any questions about the EA Study or the consultation activities?

- Interim storage of waste is mentioned in the materials that were sent out. If this means a 54-year timeframe, this isn't really interim. This sounds fairly long term.
- Is there any consideration being given to new reactors?
- OPG is doing a good job of communicating with the residents about activities at the site.

Question 3: Do you have any preliminary views or perspectives about the refurbishment project?

- We have expertise in monitoring. We can see the impacts of the warming on the lake, as water-based species are staying through the winter (such as diving ducks, and other water birds including cormorants). This shows the change to the habitat.
- Go back to Pickering station "A" E/A and the 137 issues¹ and systematic action plan submitted by; PACT; Liverpool West Community Association; Durham Nuclear Awareness Environmental group; East Shore Community Association. Then apply the same issues and action plan to station "B" E/A.
- OPG will be operating the longest running CANDU reactors in the world. This is an experiment, which isn't necessarily bad, but there will probably be costs/technical issues down the road.
- We are a stewardship group in the community. We work with the community on OPG projects such as plantings on OPG property. There are no impacts to our project

¹ This list actually consists of 160 issues and is being reviewed for its relevance to the Pickering B Refurbishment project.

associated with this Pickering B work. You should also consult with the TRCA, as it is more their responsibility to comment on this type of project.

Question 3b: What areas are of the most interest to you?

- Being a naturalist club, our primary focus is the preservation of the natural environment and minimizing the impacts. We have some specific expertise concerning the aquatic and terrestrial environment.
- Of most interest to one group are the answers to: What affects the environment and what could get worse?
- On-site storage and how long that will go on before it is moved off site.
- All areas are of equal interest.

Question 4a: Do you have any preliminary views or perspectives about the EA, the schedule and/or the consultation activities?

- In the writing about an assessment process, OPG always says it is “meeting” government standards. We should try to improve upon standards.
- Will the EA address the bigger picture for energy? We would be interested in additional spending on conservation.

Question 4b: Is the list of studies to be undertaken comprehensive?

- The list looks comprehensive.

Question 4c: Are there sufficient opportunities for consultation and communication?

- Yes, there certainly are. We have had consistent contact with OPG and we appreciate that.
- The problem with the consultations is that people have done this before. The community is tired and burned out. OPG should look for opportunities to combine the consultation on various projects to make it more manageable.

Question 5: Would you or members of your group be interested in participating in a workshop? A workshop provides the opportunity to discuss more detailed project information in an informal setting. If yes, what information would you be interested in discussing? Do you have a preference for an evening or Saturday?

- Three groups indicated that they would be interested in attending a workshop. One group indicated attendance would be dependant upon the date chosen. Weekdays were preferred by two stakeholders who responded to this question.
- One group indicated that there was no interest in attending a workshop.
- We have expertise and/or interest in a number of these areas – birds, reptiles and amphibians, butterflies, dragonflies and damselflies, wildflowers and trees
- Significance and cumulative effects are key topics.

Question 6: Is there a particular way you would like to be communicated with?

- Two groups indicated that e-mail was the best way of keeping in touch.
- One group indicated that telephone calls would also be helpful.
- A member of PESCA sits on the Pickering Community Advisory Council. This is a good way to keep our group informed of project activities.
- One group indicated that the community newsletters are the best way. One group had not yet seen the newsletter.
- Earlier, a consultant had come out to the community meetings. That was a good way to keep in touch with people.

Question 7: Do you foresee any additional information needs as the study progresses?

- Three groups indicated that they didn't foresee any additional information needs. They requested to be kept up to date.
- A request was made that the project website address be circulated. (www.opg.com/pickeringb)
- OPG needs to find a way to keep interested people in the loop regarding current issues at the plant. Operational issues need to be brought to the table.

Question 8: Would your group be interested in participating in a second interview later in the process to discuss the project?

The four groups who responded to this question indicated that they would like to be contacted later in the process and would be interested in participating in a follow-up interview.

Attachment B

Information Package

Date

Key Stakeholder Interviews letter
Address
City, ON
Postal Code

Dear XXXXXXX

Re: Environmental Assessment for the Refurbishment and Continued Operation of Pickering Nuclear Generation Station B

As you may know, Ontario Power Generation (OPG) has begun an Environmental Assessment (EA) under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, on the possible refurbishment and continued operation of the Pickering B Nuclear Generating Station.

OPG has retained SENES Consultants Limited to lead the EA. Consultation with stakeholders is an essential part of the EA process and preparation of the EA Study Report. The stakeholder consultation program includes letters and newsletters, open houses and workshops, an information line and website and stakeholder interviews.

Interviews are being held with key stakeholder groups to:

- 1 Share preliminary project information including information on the EA process and consultation activities
- 2 Gain an early understanding of possible stakeholder views, issues and/or perspectives on the project and study process.

Your organization has been identified as a key stakeholder group based on your proximity to Pickering B Nuclear Generating Station, past interest in the station, or past interest in EA studies conducted for activities at the station. You are therefore being invited to participate in an interview. SENES staff will be contacting you over the next week to arrange a convenient interview time with you. We would like to schedule interviews the week of October 30, 2006.

Attached to this letter is background material on the EA project. Please take the time to read these materials and, if you choose, distribute them within your organization. The information you share with us at the interview will help us to identify issues that

need to be addressed as part of the environmental studies, and may help inform the upcoming open houses and workshops.

In the meantime, should you have any questions about OPG's work or the Project please contact Donna Pawlowski, Manager of Strategic Planning & External Relations (905.839.6746. ext. 5389). If you have any questions about the Key Stakeholder Interviews please contact me directly.

Regards,

A. Grieve
EA Public Consultation Manager
SENES Consultants Limited

Attachments (4)

Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation Environmental Assessment

Project Fact Sheet



Pickering Nuclear Generating Station B (Pickering B) consists of four CANDU pressurized heavy water nuclear generating units (5 to 8) that have a combined power output of 2064 megawatts.

Construction on Pickering B commenced in 1974 and has continued to produce base-load electrical power for distribution on the provincial grid since 1983.

Mid-life pressure tube refurbishment of CANDU nuclear generating stations is an element of the plant design assumed to be required at some point in the life of the plant, typically after 25 to 30 years of operation.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC), the Responsible Authority for this project, has determined that satisfactory completion of an Environmental Assessment (EA) is required prior to any decision authorizing the major refurbishment and continued operation of a nuclear generation station.

The EA studies for Pickering B will contribute to OPG's business decision on whether to refurbish the units.

Refurbishment would allow Pickering B to operate through approximately 2060. For EA purposes, the earliest the first unit could be refurbished is assumed to start in 2012, and refurbishment of the other units would occur over approximately eight to twelve years.

The project includes activities in two Phases for each reactor: Site Preparation / Refurbishment Phase; and Continued Operation to End of Life Phase.

Site Preparation / Refurbishment Phase

This Phase may take up to 15 years from the time site preparation begins to the time the last reactor is returned to service. Principal activities include replacing:

- Fuel channel assemblies;
- Feeder pipes;
- Steam generators.

How are units refurbished, refuelled and restarted?

- Site and facility will be prepared in support of refurbishment.

- Used fuel will be removed from each reactor and transferred to the irradiated fuel bay for storage.
- Heavy water will be removed from each reactor and stored.
- Fuel channel assemblies, feeder pipes and steam generators will be removed and replaced.
- Balance of plant will be upgraded according to life cycle management plans.
- Once the required work has been demonstrated to be complete, OPG will request approval to refuel the reactor unit from the CNSC in preparation for its return to service.
- Once initial fueling has been safely completed, approval will be sought in stages from the CNSC to return the reactor to full power (i.e. restart the reactor).

How will refurbishment wastes be managed?

- Additional on-site waste management structures may be required to accommodate refurbishment wastes for interim storage at the Pickering Waste Management Facility (PWMF).
- Transportation of radioactive waste off-site to OPG's Western Waste Management Facility (WWMF) will be in CNSC approved and licensed containers.



Continued Operation to End of Life Phase

This Phase begins when all four units have been returned to service and continues to the new predicted end of service life of the station (conservatively estimated to be around 2060). Principal activities include:

- Continued safe operation of refurbished reactors for approximately 30 years.
- Ongoing supply of 2064 megawatts of power to the Ontario grid.
- Ongoing maintenance and upgrades of refurbished units according to their life cycle management.
- Continued management of radioactive wastes:
 - ➔ on-site interim storage of used nuclear fuel;
 - ➔ off-site transport of low/intermediate waste to the WWMF.
- Construction of an additional used fuel storage building at the PWWF as necessary.
- Continued management of non-radioactive waste.

Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation Environmental Assessment

EA Requirements



Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG) has begun to undertake studies and review the business case for refurbishing the Pickering B reactor units to extend their service lives. Refurbishment for life extension involves removing and replacing a number of major reactor components. While the station is expected to continue operating for a least another decade, it is prudent to start this process now, as there would be much work to undertake. Refurbishment of the Pickering B reactor units could extend their service lives to approximately 2060.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) has also been initiated and will be part of the review. The EA on Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation is being undertaken as a planning tool, to assist in the early identification of potentially significant environmental effects that may arise from refurbishment and subsequent continued operation of the plant. It ensures that all necessary mitigation measures are identified early in the planning process. The EA also provides a formal mechanism for public consultation on the potential environmental effects of refurbishment and continued operation. Consultation with the public and other stakeholders is an essential part of the EA process.

A Letter of Intent and a Project Description was submitted to the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) in the Spring of 2006 to initiate the federal process. The CNSC has determined that a federal EA is required under the provisions of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA)*. The CNSC released Draft EA Guidelines for public comment on September 15th 2006. The EA Study will be directed by the CNSC guidelines. The draft guidelines give direction on how to carry out the EA and outline what components are to be contained in the EA, the study areas to be used and the project activities that will be undertaken.

EA Components

- A description of the project
- A description of the existing environment including:
 - Human Health (including radiation and radioactivity)
 - Surface Water
 - Atmosphere
 - Aquatic Environment
 - Geology and Hydrogeology
 - Terrestrial Environment
 - Land Resources
 - Cultural Heritage and Aboriginal Environment
 - Socio-Economic Conditions
- Identification of Valued Ecosystem Components
- Predicted environmental effects of the project
- Description and assessment of effects of credible malfunctions and accidents
- Effects of the environment on the Project (e.g. tornado)
- Cumulative effects of other existing or planned projects
- Effects on the capacity of renewable and non-renewable resources
- Feasible mitigation and impact management measures to reduce or eliminate likely adverse effects
- Significance of any residual effects
- Stakeholder and public consultation
- A description of a follow-up and monitoring program

Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation Environmental Assessment

EA Requirements



Study Areas

For EA purposes, the geographic areas must encompass the areas of the environment that can reasonably be expected to be affected by the project.

- ❑ **The Site Study Area** includes the facilities, buildings and infrastructure at the Pickering B facility and the area within the 914 metre exclusion zone for the site, which encompasses both land surface and part of Lake Ontario water surface.
- ❑ **The Local Study Area** comprises an area which lies outside of the Site Study Area and includes lands within the City of Pickering, the Town of Ajax, and the eastern part of the Greater Toronto Area (Scarborough). The study area also includes a portion of Lake Ontario abutting the property and used by those communities for activities, such as recreation and community water supply and waste water discharge.
- ❑ **The Regional Study Area** extends beyond the Local Study Area and is defined as the area within which there is the potential for cumulative and socio-economic effects. The area generally extends from the Darlington Nuclear Generating Station in the east, the eastern part of the City of Toronto to the west, and includes the municipalities in the Regional Municipality of Durham north of the Pickering site.



PROJECT SCHEDULE

DATE	ACTIVITY
Late Spring (April, May, June) 2006	OPG submits Letter of Intent and Project Description to CNSC
Summer (July, August, September) 2006	CNSC releases Draft EA Guidelines for Public Review
Fall (October, November, December) 2006	CNSC Commissioners review Guidelines
Late 2006 / Early 2007	CNSC releases Final EA Guidelines
Winter (January, February, March) 2007	OPG submits Draft EA Study Report to CNSC
Spring 2007	OPG submits Final EA Study Report to CNSC
Summer 2007	CNSC releases Draft Screening Report for Public Review
Fall 2007	CNSC holds Commission Hearing on Screening Report
Late 2007	CNSC releases Commission Decision on EA

NEXT STEPS – If OPG Decides to Proceed with Refurbishment

DATE	ACTIVITY
2010 - 2012	OPG undertakes Construction of Refurbishment Waste Storage Structures at PWWF
2012 - 2024	OPG undertakes Refurbishment Outages
2025	OPG undertakes Construction of Additional Used Fuel Dry Storage Buildings (Estimated)
2025 - 2060	Continued Operation of Pickering B Until End of Life (Estimated)

Project Consultation Activities Schedule



DATE	ACTIVITY
Late Spring 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> OPG submits Letter of Intent and Project Description to CNSC
Summer 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Public Notification Environmental Assessment (EA) Commencement <input type="checkbox"/> Web Site Launched <input type="checkbox"/> Toll Free Information Line Activated <input type="checkbox"/> EA Notification Letters Distributed <input type="checkbox"/> Stakeholder Comment Database Created and Maintained throughout Project
Late Summer 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Project Newsletter #1/<i>Pickering Neighbours</i> Distributed <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 1 Advertised (Invitation Cards, Letters, Media Advertisements) <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 1 Held
Fall 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Key Stakeholder Briefings / Interviews Held <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 1 Panels Displayed at Pickering Information Centre <input type="checkbox"/> EA Project Newsletter #2/<i>Pickering Neighbours</i> Distributed <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 2 Advertised (Invitation Cards, Letters, Media Advertisements)
Late Fall 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Stakeholder Briefings (New Officials) Held <input type="checkbox"/> EA Workshop #1 Held <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 2 Held <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 2 Panels Displayed at Pickering Information Centre
Early Winter 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Project Newsletter #3/<i>Pickering Neighbours</i> Distributed <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 3 Advertised <input type="checkbox"/> EA Workshop #2 Held
Winter 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 3 Held
Early Spring 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> EA Open House Round 3 Panels Displayed at Pickering Information Centre
On-Going	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Continual communication with Stakeholders and Public in responding to questions and/or concerns regarding the EA Project

Attachment C

Interview Guide

STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEW GUIDE

PICKERING B REFURBISHMENT FOR CONTINUED OPERATION ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION

The following interview guide provides the basis for conducting an in person or telephone interview to share preliminary project information including information on the EA process and consultation activities and gain an early understanding of possible stakeholder views, issues and/or perspectives on the project and study process.

These interviews are part of the communication and consultation activities for the project. Participants have been supplied with the following materials in advance of the interview:

- Summary fact sheet describing the Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation EA Study;
- Overview of components of the EA Study;
- Summary schedule of EA Study activities; and
- Outline of proposed public consultation activities.

The following questions are to be used as a guide to maintain consistency of the interviews and that all information is obtained. Some questions may be modified while others may be used to probe for the required information.

Organization

Name: _____

Contact Name: _____ **Telephone**
#: _____

Date of Interview _____ **Interviewer**
: _____

Hello, my name is (**YOUR NAME**) and I am calling from SENES Consultants Limited. Our firm has been retained by Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG) to complete an Environmental Assessment of the Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation Project. About a week ago we sent you a package of information about the project. The package included the following information:

- Summary fact sheet describing the Pickering B Refurbishment for Continued Operation EA Study;
- Overview of components of the EA Study;
- Summary schedule of EA Study activities; and
- Outline of proposed public consultation activities.

1. Have you received a package of information about the project? Yes____ No____ o If yes, continue. o If no, confirm address and look into having information recent.
2. Have you had an opportunity to review the information? Yes____ No____ o If yes, can we set up a time for an in-person interview? <i>Set up interview time on schedule provided. If desired participant can be interviewed by phone – interview will take 30-45 minutes.</i> o If no, is there another time we can call you back after you have had a chance to review the information? We plan to use the information collected to ensure that public views and perspectives are covered in the EA being undertaken.

Interview Questions:

The following questions will be asked for either an in-person or telephone interview. Responses to questions are to be recorded and provided to Andrea Halbert for inclusion in the Stakeholder Comment Database.

The following questions I would like to ask you refer to the project information you have received and your views related to the proposed project.

3. The first fact sheet describes the major work that is associated with refurbishment. Do you have any questions about the project?

4. The next three fact sheets provide an overview of the major study components for the EA and schedules for the EA and the communications and consultation activities. Do you have any questions about the EA Study or the consultation activities?

5. Do you have any preliminary views or perspectives about the refurbishment project?

What areas interest you most? Phase 1: site preparation/refurbishment? Phase 2: continued operation?

6. Do you have any preliminary views or perspectives about the EA, the schedule and/or the consultation activities?

- a. Is the list of studies to be undertaken comprehensive?
- b. Are there sufficient opportunities for consultation and communication?

7. Would you or members of your group be interested in participating in a workshop? A workshop provides the opportunity to discuss more detailed project information in an informal setting.

If yes, what information would you be interested in discussing?

Do you have a preference for an evening or Saturday?

8. Is there a particular way you would like to be communicated with?

9. Do you foresee any additional information needs as the study progresses?

10. Would your group be interested in participating in a second interview later in the process to discuss the project?

Future project information will be distributed through the OPG newsletter, *Neighbours News*. The project website will also be updated with information as the project progresses.

Thank you for your time and interest in the project.